

June 21, 2023

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
EAST ST. LOUIS DIVISION

YOLANDA JACKSON, as Administrator)	
of the Estate of KEVIN CURTIS,)	
#Y22898,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
vs.)	No. 3:20-cv-00900-DWD
)	
WEXFORD HEALTH SOURCES, INC., EVA)	
LEVEN, MOHAMMED SIDDIQUI, GAIL WALLS,)	
NICKOLAS MITCHELL, CHARLES FRERKING,)	
JEREMY FRERICH, and ANDREW BENNETT,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

Deposition Upon Oral Examination Of
RYAN HERRINGTON
Volume II

7:40 a.m.

June 21, 2023

415 Capitol Way North

Olympia, Washington

REPORTED BY: Yvonne A. Gillette, RPR, CCR No. 2129.

June 21, 2023

1 **A** **No.**

2 Q Did you review any Wexford written
3 guidelines or policies that you found to be
4 inadequate?

5 **A** **I did not cite to any policies.**

6 Q Okay. I want to shift gears and talk about
7 your methodology. And --

8 **A** **Maybe 30 seconds, please.**

9 Q Yes.

10 **THE WITNESS: What time is it?**

11 MS. GRADY: It's 11:11.

12 **A** **Okay. Go ahead.**

13 Q Did you perform a sampling of these patients
14 in order to conduct your study?

15 MS. GRADY: Object to form. Vague.

16 **A** **No.**

17 Q Okay. Did you determine what patients'
18 records you were going to review?

19 **A** **No.**

20 Q Okay. Do you understand the importance of
21 sampling a given population to ensure that the sample
22 group is a true representation of the population
23 without error?

24 MS. GRADY: Objection. Form. Incomplete
25 hypothetical. You can answer.

June 21, 2023

1 **A Yes.**

2 Q Did you create a methodology for unbiased
3 sampling of the IDOC population?

4 MS. GRADY: Same objections.

5 **A No.**

6 Q Do you know what methodology, if any, was
7 used in obtaining the sampling of the patients that
8 you reviewed?

9 **A I don't know. I wasn't privy to the**
10 **processes of procurement of records.**

11 Q Okay. Sampling errors caused by sampling
12 design can create selection bias; is that true?

13 MS. GRADY: Objection. Form. Vague.
14 Incomplete hypothetical.

15 **A In some circumstances, yes.**

16 Q To ensure reliability and valid inferences
17 from a given sample, probability sampling techniques
18 should be used to obtain unbiased results. Do you
19 agree with that?

20 MS. GRADY: Same objection. Calls for
21 speculation. You can answer.

22 **A Can you ask that again?**

23 Q Yeah. To ensure reliable and valid
24 inferences from a sample, probability sampling
25 techniques should be used to obtain unbiased results.

June 21, 2023

1 Do you agree?

2 **A** Let me think for just a second. I'm
3 thinking because these are all sentinel events. This
4 series of twelve cases are not like randomly taken
5 from the IDOC population. These are people that had
6 adverse health effects already. So they're -- they're
7 what we call sentinel events. So the term we use is
8 these are patients that we know have had an adverse
9 outcome. So what we're looking for is what exposures
10 they had, and that includes lack of proper medical
11 care, what exposures they had. And so you -- now that
12 I've said that to myself, can you ask your question
13 one more time?

14 **Q** Yeah. To ensure reliable and valid
15 inferences from a sample, probability sampling
16 techniques should be used to obtain unbiased results.
17 Do you agree?

18 MS. GRADY: Same objections. Go ahead.

19 **A** I do, except I think what you're getting at
20 is random sampling. And this is not random sampling.

21 **Q** So --

22 MS. GRADY: Hold on. He's going to finish
23 his answer.

24 MS. KINKADE: I'm not --

25 MS. GRADY: Absolutely not. He's going to

June 21, 2023

1 finish his answer. Please continue, Dr. Herrington.

2 A What I was trying to explain is -- and this
3 is all probability related too as well. But I think
4 what you're reading, the context of what you're
5 reading is when you're doing a random -- like a random
6 sampling of a population. What this review is is not
7 a random sampling. This is a sentinel event review.

8 So I have to answer your questions the way
9 you're posing them, but I just want to make sure that
10 the context of this review is not -- it's not a random
11 sampling of Wexford patients. It can't be because
12 these are patients that have already suffered an
13 adverse event.

14 What we could do is, if we could, get
15 records for every single death that occurred under
16 Wexford in Illinois over a certain period of time.
17 And depending on how large that population is, we
18 could extract from that a random sampling. But we
19 can't do that in this setting because this is not a
20 random sampling. These are sentinel events. It's a
21 little bit different process.

22 Q So a sentinel event, is that -- are you
23 meaning that the same as adverse event?

24 A Well, adverse events aren't necessarily
25 sentinel events. I mean, people get infections after

June 21, 2023

1 operations all the time. Those are all adverse
2 events, but they're not necessarily sentinel events
3 because we expect a certain percentage of people to
4 get postoperative infections.

5 Q Okay.

6 A What we don't expect is for people to die
7 from mismanagement of Coumadin. We don't expect
8 people to die from failure to recognize people that
9 are dehydrated. We don't expect people to die from
10 progressive cirrhosis. That's not -- that's not
11 timely referred to a transplant center. We don't
12 expect people to die from delays to local hospitals
13 and emergency departments when their medical condition
14 is so amazingly, just amazingly irresponsibly
15 unstable.

16 Some of these cases were so frankly poorly
17 managed that I had to go outside and get a breath of
18 fresh air. I was struck by some of the just careless
19 disregard for a patient's prospect of remaining alive
20 that I was just -- I had to go outside for a couple of
21 these. It was so poor.

22 Q I'm going to object to nonresponsive. If
23 you want to give those opinions, we can talk about
24 additional time.

25 MS. GRADY: Absolutely not. We're not

June 21, 2023

1 talking about additional time.

2 Q I just want to make sure to answer the
3 questions that are before us.

4 MS. GRADY: I just want to state for the
5 record, because now it appears to be necessary, there
6 was an agreement at the end of the deposition
7 yesterday that there would be no further time beyond
8 noon and no requests for further time. So you have
9 had nearly twelve hours with this doctor. That is
10 more than a sufficient amount of time.

11 There's not going to be a request for
12 additional time, and Wexford has expressly agreed to
13 that. So there's not going to be any further requests
14 to that effect.

15 MS. ARMSTRONG: I'm going to join that
16 objection as nonresponsive.

17 Q Okay. So we're dealing with twelve cases
18 where an individual died, correct?

19 **A Correct.**

20 Q We know all the individuals who died within
21 the Illinois Department of Corrections since 2000 to
22 2020; is that correct?

23 MS. GRADY: Objection. Form.

24 **A I don't know.**

25 Q Have you not reviewed the Illinois

June 21, 2023

1 Department of Corrections Adult Institute Inmate Death
2 Calendar for every given year within the Department of
3 Corrections?

4 **A I've tried to look at that, but I couldn't**
5 **understand how to get -- to get meaningful data. So I**
6 **wasn't able to get very far with that, but I actually**
7 **did try to look that up.**

8 Q So you saw a spreadsheet that lists the
9 number of patients that died every year, right?

10 **A I remember seeing spreadsheets, but I didn't**
11 **understand how to like technically look at that data.**
12 **And I -- I can just tell you, I tried to look at that.**
13 **And I -- I didn't -- I don't feel like I got very far**
14 **with it. But if that data is prepared for me in a way**
15 **that I can understand it, I would be happy to look at**
16 **it.**

17 Q The question is, the spreadsheet listed the
18 number of deaths per year, correct?

19 **A I don't remember.**

20 Q Okay. It lists the institutions in which
21 the individuals were incarcerated?

22 **A I don't -- I just don't remember.**

23 Q And it listed the date of deaths of the
24 individuals?

25 **A I'm sorry. I just don't remember. I can**

June 21, 2023

1 **only tell you I tried to look at that.**

2 Q If your answer is "I don't know", that's
3 fine. It listed the cause of death for each of the
4 individuals as well?

5 MS. GRADY: Objection. Form. Misstates the
6 records.

7 **A So I don't -- I don't know. But what I**
8 **would also say is some of these patients didn't have**
9 **autopsies. Some of these patients I had to learn for**
10 **myself what the cause of death was. One of the**
11 **things, you know, if I were to look at that**
12 **spreadsheet, I would to have some sort of assurance**
13 **that that spreadsheet itself is actually accurate.**

14 Q Since we have a list of all the names of
15 individuals who died every single year in the
16 Department of Corrections, there could be a random
17 sampling of the deaths that have occurred, fair?

18 MS. GRADY: Objection. Incomplete
19 hypothetical.

20 **A That could happen.**

21 Q And I wasn't only referring to a random
22 sampling before. My question -- there are actually
23 four different types of probability sampling that can
24 occur. Random sampling, systematic sampling,
25 stratified sampling, and cluster sampling, right?

June 21, 2023

1 **A That's correct.**

2 Q And none of those were done here?

3 MS. GRADY: Object to form. Incomplete
4 hypothetical. You can answer.

5 **A Well, we have a population of twelve. But**
6 **my point is that these are all -- these are all**
7 **patients who have already had an event. And I also --**
8 **I also know that -- well, I mean, I'm not part of any**
9 **efforts to obtain, you know, an entire population. I**
10 **can only tell you that I'd be happy to do that if I**
11 **were able to. But what I have here is twelve cases.**
12 **These are -- these are cases where the adequacy is**
13 **not -- not a hard decision to make.**

14 Q Doctor, I'm asking about sampling methods.

15 MS. GRADY: Hold on. We're going to let him
16 finish his answer.

17 MS. KINKADE: I --

18 MS. GRADY: Absolutely not. We are not
19 going to be interrupting the witness. I'm sorry if
20 you have used your time in a way that leads at 1:22
21 with 18 minutes left that you --

22 MS. KINKADE: It's not about my time. It's
23 about responding to the question.

24 MS. GRADY: Absolutely not. You do not
25 interrupt the witness. That is rule number one in

June 21, 2023

1 these depositions, and you have enforced that with me.

2 MS. KINKADE: I'm going to call the Court if
3 you don't stop interrupting me.

4 MS. GRADY: We're going to allow the witness
5 to answer the question. Please continue,
6 Dr. Herrington.

7 **A What was the question?**

8 Q There are four --

9 MS. GRADY: Can you read it back, please?

10 MS. KINKADE: I can say it.

11 Q (Ms. Kinkade continuing.) There are four
12 probability sampling methods, random sampling,
13 systematic sampling, stratified sampling, and cluster
14 sampling. Were any of those methods used in sampling
15 the individuals in this case?

16 **A This is not a random sampling. This would**
17 **not be a stratified sample. This would not be a**
18 **cluster sample. I don't know -- I don't remember what**
19 **systemic sampling is. So this is a -- this is twelve**
20 **cases. I don't -- I can't speak -- I don't remember**
21 **what systemic sampling is.**

22 Q Okay. That's fine. Sampling size is
23 important in order to be able to determine whether the
24 sample can provide any insight into a relationship
25 that would exist more generally in the environment; is

June 21, 2023

1 that true?

2 MS. GRADY: Object to form. Vague.

3 Incomplete hypothetical. You can answer.

4 A So you're asking about sample size. That is
5 important when you're drawing inferences between
6 variables. In this case, the variable we're looking
7 at is did the patient live, or did the patient die?
8 And in all of these cases we looked at, the patient
9 died. So it's -- it's a number twelve. They're all
10 sentinel events, but the -- it's still a valid tool to
11 make generalizations and opinions because the mistakes
12 that were made were so outrageous.

13 I think -- I understand what you're getting
14 at is you're trying to look into the validity of my
15 work here. And I understand that. I think if the
16 decision of inadequate versus adequate -- I think if
17 the difference was smaller and more subtle, then you
18 would need the methodology that you're talking about.
19 Large sample size, randomness. But here, the outcomes
20 were so bad, and the decision making was so
21 breathtakingly poor that these are still valid
22 conclusions and opinions to make based on a number of
23 twelve.

24 Q Did you pick the number twelve for patients
25 that you reviewed?

June 21, 2023

1 **A No.**

2 Q Did you perform any mathematical equation to
3 determine what number of death reviews should be
4 conducted in order to determine a statistical power
5 analysis that can generalize your findings into the
6 community?

7 MS. GRADY: Objection. Form. Incomplete
8 hypothetical. You can answer.

9 **A No.**

10 Q Okay. Did you perform a root cause analysis
11 of -- in any of these cases?

12 MS. GRADY: Same objections.

13 **A Root cause analysis, I think, for a lot of**
14 **these would be a very good practice. And I don't see**
15 **any evidence that Wexford did root cause analysis.**
16 **And that speaks to one of my conclusions, which is**
17 **on -- bear with me.**

18 Q Just to remind you, the question was whether
19 you performed root cause analysis in any of these.

20 **A Well, the answer is sort of -- I mean, root**
21 **causes are what is fundamentally wrong with this**
22 **system, which is why I have entitled at the bottom of**
23 **page 48 is: Why did these deaths occur in the manner**
24 **in which they occurred? That speaks to a methodology**
25 **that's consistent with RCA or root cause analysis.**

June 21, 2023

1 But I talked about clinical supervision that
2 I thought was insufficient. And I talked about a lot
3 of time spent in collegial review. I talked about
4 continuous quality improvement and ineffective
5 mortality review. That's -- that would be part of a
6 root cause analysis. Not sending patients to the
7 hospital and not hiring practitioners with, you know,
8 proper credentials.

9 Q Did you review the credentials of any of the
10 practitioners that you reviewed?

11 A I used a piece of the Lippert report to
12 speak to that. I mean, I -- I can't do my own
13 investigation for that. I pulled it out of the
14 Lippert report. You know, if this is incorrect, and
15 you have documentation otherwise, I'd be happy to
16 consider that. But I think that the -- I think the
17 doctor managing the Coumadin case, I think he was like
18 a radiologist.

19 Q What about the credentialing of
20 Dr. Siddiqui, Dr. Floreani, Dr. Leven,
21 Mr. Moldenhauer, Ms. Zimmer? Did you find any
22 inadequacies in their credentialing?

23 MS. GRADY: Objection. Compound. You can
24 answer.

25 A I don't recall that I really got into that.